A Modified Core-Shell Model of Ferrimagnetic Oxide Nanoparticles

V. Šepelák^{1,2}, F. J. Litterst¹, K. D. Becker¹



¹Braunschweig University of Technology, Braunschweig, Germany

²Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia

Size-dependent magnetization in nanoscale BaFe₁₂O₁₉



V. Šepelák et al., JAP, in prep.







Model of the spin distribution within nanoscale ferrite particle

PHYSICAL REVIEW B

VOLUME 59, NUMBER 9

MARCH 1999-I

Atomic-scale magnetic modeling of oxide nanoparticles

R. H. Kodama* and A. E. Berkowitz

Physics Department & Center for Magnetic Recording Research, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093 (Received 6 May 1998; revised manuscript received 13 October 1998)





Model of a *shell-core structure:* spin canting is due to broken exchange bonds in the *near-surface layers*

[R.H. Kodama, A.E. Berkowitz, *Phys. Rev. B* **59** (1999) 6321.]



V. Šepelák et al., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 316 (2007) e764.

Fundamenal scientific issues in the field of nanocrystalline solids

Explanation of the structure-property relationships in nanomaterials

- elucidation of the fundamental origin of unusual physical and chemical properties of "interface-controlled" materials

Structural characterization of nanostructures at fine-size (local) scale

- determination of both nonequilibrium ionic distribution and spin configurations in internal interfaces (grain boundary regions) and external surfaces (near-surface layers) of nanoparticles

- characterization of interfaces/surfaces (volume fraction, thickness, ...)

Spinel structure

<u>2-3 spinels:</u> **M1**²⁺**M2**₂³⁺**O**₄

Λ



space group Fd3m; cubic unit cell consists of 56 atoms: 32 anions (O²⁻) and **24** cations (*M*(1)²⁺ and *M*(2)³⁺)

96 interstices between the ions: 64 tetrahedral (A) (8a, 8b, 48f) **32 octahedral [B]** (16c, 16d)

only 24 interstices are occupied by cations:

8 (A) sites (8a) and 16 [B] sites (16d)

a	unit cell dimension
U	oxygen parameter
0	

degree of inversion

(A) [B] Spinel ferrites: $(M_{1-\lambda} Fe_{\lambda}) [M_{\lambda} Fe_{2-\lambda}] O_4 / 0 < \lambda < 1$ normal spinelNormal spinel $0 < \lambda < 1$ $0 < \lambda < 1$ Normal spinel $0 < \lambda < 1$ $0 < \lambda < 1$ Spinel aluminates: $(M_{1-\lambda} A I_{\lambda}) [M_{\lambda} A I_{2-\lambda}] O_{\lambda} \gtrsim \lambda = 1$

inverse spinel $\lambda = 2/3$ random distribution

From Macro to Nano



The core-shell structure of nanocrystalline spinel oxide



Disordered surface/interface (grain boundary region)

Ordered core (grain, crystallite)



lonic and spin disorder in nanoscale spinel MgFe₂O₄



bulk MgFe₂O₄

 $(Mg_{0.10} Fe_{0.90}) [Mg_{0.90} Fe_{1.10}] O_4$ collinear magnetic structure: $\Psi_{(A)} = 0^\circ, \Psi_{[B]} = 0^\circ$ partly inverse spinel: λ = 0.90 nanocrystalline MgFe₂O₄ core: $(Mg_{0.10} Fe_{0.90}) [Mg_{0.90} Fe_{1.10}] O_4$ collinear magnetic structure: $\Psi_{(A)core} = 0^\circ, \ \Psi_{B|core} = 0^\circ$ partly inverse spinel: $\lambda_{core} = 0.90$

V. Šepelák et al., Chem. Mater. 18 (2006) 3057.

lonic and spin disorder in nanoscale spinel MgFe₂O₄



Enhanced magnetization in nanocrystalline MgFe₂O₄



"Magnetically active" surface shell in MgFe₂O₄ nanoparticles



spin canting tends to **reduce** the magnetization $\frac{\text{ordered core:}}{(Mg_{0.1} Fe_{0.9}^{\uparrow}) [Mg_{0.9} Fe_{1.1}] O_4}$

 $\mu_{\text{core}} = \mu_{[\text{B}]\text{core}} - \mu_{(\text{A})\text{core}} =$ $(2 - \lambda_{\text{core}})\mu_{\text{Fe}}\text{COS} \Psi_{[\text{B}]\text{core}} - \lambda_{\text{core}}\mu_{\text{Fe}}\text{COS} \Psi_{(\text{A})\text{core}}$ $= 1.1 \times 5 \ \mu_{\text{B}} - 0.9 \times 5 \ \mu_{\text{B}} = \underline{1 \ \mu_{\text{B}}}$

disordered shell:

 $(Mg_{0.31} Fe_{0.69}) [Mg_{0.69} Fe_{1.31}] O_4$

 $\mu_{\text{shell}} = \mu_{\text{[B]shell}} - \mu_{\text{(A)shell}} = (2 - \lambda_{\text{shell}})\mu_{\text{Fe}} \cos \Psi_{\text{B]shell}} - \lambda_{\text{shell}}\mu_{\text{Fe}} \cos \Psi_{\text{(A)shell}} = \frac{2 \mu_{\text{B}}}{2}$

nonequilibrium cation distribution in MgFe₂O₄ causes increase of the magnetization



V. Šepelák et al., Chem. Mater. 18 (2006) 3057.

Reduced magnetization in nanocrystalline NiFe₂O₄



V. Šepelák et al., J. Phys. Chem. C 111 (2007) 5026.

The nonuniform core-shell structure of nanocrystalline NiFe₂O₄



The nonuniform core-shell structure of nanocrystalline NiFe₂O₄



V. Šepelák et al., J. Phys. Chem. C 111 (2007) 5026.

From Superparamagnetism to Ferrimagnetism



V. Šepelák et al., J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 90 (2007) 93.

Nanoscale NiFe₂O₄ particles with "magnetically dead" surface shell



Features of canted magnetic structures: • Reduced or enhanced nonsaturating magnetization • Reduced or enhanced Néel temperature • Enhanced coercivity • Shift of the hysteresis loop • Magnetization irreversibility

V. Šepelák et al., J. Phys. Chem. C 111 (2007) 5026.



Information depth of the XPS measurements: ~ 6 nm. Conclusions made on the basis of ZnO and Zn_2TiO_4 - the well-known tetrahedrally and octahedrally coordinated zinc compounds, respectively.

V. Šepelák et al., J. Alloy. Compd. 434-435 (2007) 776.



Enhanced magnetization and Néel temperature in nanocrystalline spinel ferrite

Enhanced magnetic ordering temperature; $T_N \sim 210$ K



V. Šepelák et al., *Physica B* 234–236 (1997) 617.



Volume fraction (*w*) and thickness (*t*) of interfaces/surfaces in nanocrystalline MgAl₂O₄ spinel

 λ = 0.23

 λ = 0.31

 $(Mg_{0.77} AI_{0.23}) [Mg_{0.23} AI_{1.77}]O_4 \longrightarrow (Mg_{0.69} AI_{0.31}) [Mg_{0.31} AI_{1.69}]O_4$

$$\lambda = (1 - w)\lambda_{\rm c} + w\lambda_{\rm s}$$

Spinel MgAl ₂ O ₄	D (nm)	λ	w (%)	t (nm)				
	150.0	0.23	0	0				
	16.3	0.27	9.2	0.3				
	9.8	0.30	16.0	0.3				
	8.1	0.31	18.3	0.3				
$\lambda = 2I_{(A)}/(I_{(A)} + I_{[B]}).$								
$w = 100[(\lambda - \lambda_c)/(\lambda_s - \lambda_c)]$, where $\lambda_c = 0.23$ and $\lambda_s = 2/3$.								
$t = D/2 - [(D/2)^3(100 - w)/100]^{1/3}.$								

V. Šepelák et al., Current Advances in Materials and Processes 20 (2007) 1310.

Cation disorder in nanosized ZnAl₂O₄ and Li_{0.5}Al_{2.5}O₄ spinels



$$\lambda = 0.02$$

Bulk: (Zn_{0.98} Al_{0.02}) [Zn_{0.02} Al_{1.98}]O₄
Nano: (Zn_{0.88} Al_{0.12}) [Zn_{0.12} Al_{1.88}]O₄
$$\lambda = 0.12$$

 $\lambda = 1.00$ Bulk: (AI_{1.00}) [Li_{0.5} AI_{1.50}]O₄ Nano: (Li_{0.13} AI_{0.87}) [Li_{0.37} AI_{1.63}]O₄ $\lambda = 0.87$



Cation disorder in nanostructured spinels is directed towards random arrangement



Li_{0.5}Al_{2.5}O₄ NiAl₂O₄ Li_{0.5}Fe_{2.5}O₄ MgFe₂O₄ NiFe₂O₄

 $MgAl_2O_4$ $ZnAl_2O_4$

ZnFe₂O₄

Volume fraction (w) and thickness (t) of interfaces/surfaces in nanoscale spinel aluminates and ferrites

$$\lambda = (1 - w)\lambda_{\rm c} + w\lambda_{\rm s}$$

Spinel	D (nm)	λ	λ_{c}	λ_{s}	w (%)	t (nm)
MgAl ₂ O ₄	8.1	0.31	0.23	2/3	18.3	0.3
ZnAl ₂ O ₄	9.8	0.12	0.02	2/3	15.5	0.3
Li _{0.5} Al _{2.5} O ₄	9.6	0.87	1.00	5/6	78.0	1.9
NiAl ₂ O ₄	9.2	0.75	0.90	2/3	64.3	1.3
Li _{0.5} Fe _{2.5} O ₄	8.0	0.90	1.00	5/6	60.0	1.1
MgFe ₂ O ₄	9.7	0.73	0.90	2/3	72.8	1.4
ZnFe ₂ O ₄	10.0	0.41	0.00	2/3	61.5	1.4
NiFe ₂ O ₄	8.7	0.72	1.00	2/3	84.0	2.0

 $\lambda = 2I_{(A)}/(I_{(A)}+I_{[B]})$ for 2-3 spinels; $\lambda = 2.5I_{(A)}/(I_{(A)}+I_{[B]})$ for 1-3 spinels.

$$w = 100[(\lambda - \lambda_{\rm c})/(\lambda_{\rm s} - \lambda_{\rm c})].$$

 $t = D/2 - [(D/2)^3(100 - w)/100]^{1/3}.$

Conclusions

A Nanocrystalline complex oxides possess a nonuniform structure consisting of the ordered nanosized crystallites surrounded by the disordered interface/surface regions (w and t extend up to about 80% and 2 nm, respectively)

The main structural features of the disordered interface/surface regions in nanooxides are nonequilibrium cation distribution and noncollinear spin arrangement

* Independently of the ionic configuration in the bulk spinel oxides, their particle size reduction leads to the cation redistribution that is directed towards the **random arrangement** ($\lambda = 2/3$ (5/6))

The cation order-disorder process is accompanied by the short-range disordering phenomena (e.g., deformation of polyhedron geometries)

A Nanocrystalline oxides exhibit unusual properties (markedly different from those of their bulk counterparts) determined to a large extent by the structure of their interfaces/surfaces

Supported by: DFG, APVV, VEGA, AvH